

## 2. Probabilistic Generative Model

In "1, Discriminant Functions" we are using the simplest model. Let's illustrate all 3 approaches, and discuss the differences.

Three approaches to classification

a) Find  $f(x)$ , which is called discriminant function, to map input  $x$  directly into a class label.

This approach doesn't care anything related to probability.

Complexity \*

b) Determine the posterior class probability  $p(C_k|x)$ , then use decision theorem to do the rest.

This approach needs to compute the posterior, so a little bit complexer than a),  $p(C_k|x)$  is called the discriminant model.

Complexity \*\*

c) Find out class-conditional density  $P(x|C_k)$

Then use Bayes' theorem to compute posterior class probability  $P(C_k|x)$

Or, equivalently, compute the joint distribution  $p(x, C_k)$ , then reduce to  $p(C_k|x)$ .

Finally use decision theorem to classify  $x$ .

Because this approach explicitly or implicitly model the distribution of input and output, this is known as generative models.

Complexity \*\*\*

For generative model approach, nearly every thing can be computed out. So, if we sample  $p(x, c_k)$ , we can even generate synthetic data in the input space.

Because we need to compute so many "irrelevant" stuff, and the dimension of  $x$  is usually large, the complexity is usually really high.

Let's consider the case of two classes. The posterior probability for class  $C_1$  can be written as

$$P(C_1 | x) = \frac{P(x | C_1) P(C_1)}{P(x | C_1) \cdot P(C_1) + P(x | C_2) P(C_2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\alpha)} = \sigma(\alpha)$$

$$\alpha = \ln \frac{P(x | C_1) P(C_1)}{P(x | C_2) P(C_2)}$$

$\sigma(\alpha)$  is the logistic sigmoid function defined by

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\alpha)}$$

Let's analyze sigmoid first

$$\sigma(-a) = 1 - \sigma(a), \quad a = \ln\left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right)$$

## 1. Continuous Input.

Let's assume that every class's pdf is Gaussian, and all classes share the same covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Then, the density for class  $C_k$  is given by

$$p(x|C_k) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma)$$

Now, use the sigmoid function version.

$$p(C_1|x) = \sigma(W^T x + b_0)$$

$$\text{where } W = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$$

$$b_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \ln \frac{p(C_1)}{p(C_2)}$$

We can see that, the quadratic term relates  $x$  has been cancelled

Then, we find that the argument of logistic sigmoid is linear to  $x$ .

## 2. Logistic Regression

Also for the two-class classification, we know from previous derivation that

$$p(C_1|\phi(x)) = \sigma(\tilde{W}^T \tilde{\phi}(x))$$

$$p(C_2|\phi(x)) = 1 - p(C_1|\phi(x))$$

In statistics, this method is known as logistic regression, even though it's a classification model.

For a dataset  $\{\phi_n, t_n\}$ , where  $t_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\phi_n = \phi(x_n)$

The likelihood function can be written as

$$P(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^N P(C_1|\phi_n)^{t_n} \underbrace{(1 - P(C_1|\phi_n))^{1-t_n}}_{= P(C_2|\phi_n)}$$

As usual, take the negative logarithm of the likelihood, which is the cross-entropy error

$$E(w) = -\ln P(t|w) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \{t_n \ln P(C_1|\phi_n) + (1-t_n) \ln (1 - P(C_1|\phi_n))\}$$

Now, plug in our sigmoid function and linear model.

$$P(C_1|\phi_n) = \sigma(w^T \phi_n)$$

Then

$$\nabla_w E(w) = \sum_{n=1}^N (\sigma(w^T \phi_n) - t_n) \phi_n$$